

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

**NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES AND ORDER THEREON**

CASE NO.: 08cv39-WQH-WMC  
 TITLE: San Diego Unified School District v. T.B. et al  
 E-FILED 3/12/08 DOCKET NO.: 19  
 DATE:  
 DOCUMENT TITLE: Motion to Dismiss  
 DOCUMENT FILED Dana Wilkins  
 BY:

Upon the electronic filing of the above referenced document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

X	Civil Local Rule or Electronic Case Filing Administrative Policies and Procedures Manual provision ("ECF")	Discrepancy
	ECF § 2(h)	Includes a proposed order or requires judge's signature
	ECF § 2(a), (g)	Docket entry does not accurately reflect the document(s) filed
X	ECF § 2(g)	Multiple pleadings in one docket entry not separated out as attachments
X	ECF § 2(f)	Lacking proper signature (ECF log-in does not match main document signature)
	Civ. L. Rule 5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
	Civ. L. Rule 7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/document(s) are not timely
X	Civ. L. Rule 7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
	Civ. L. Rule 7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
	Civ. L. Rule 7.1	Missing table of contents and/or table of authorities
	Civ. L. Rule 15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
		OTHER:

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

X	The document is accepted despite the discrepancy noted above. Any further non-compliant documents may be stricken from the record.
	The document is rejected. It is ordered that the Clerk <b>STRIKE</b> the document from the record, and serve a copy of this order on all parties.
Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules or Electronic Case Filing Administrative Policies and Procedures Manual may lead to penalties pursuant to Civil Local Rule 83.1.	

DATED: 3-17-08  
 cc: All Parties

CHAMBERS OF: Judge Hayes  
 BY: JK